

**Study on The Improvement of Cycle Life of $\text{Li}_{3-x}\text{Co}_x\text{N}$ as An Anode of
Li-Ion Secondary Battery**

Yong-Mook Kang*, Sung-Chul Park, Ki-Tae Kim,
Yoo-Min Kim and Jai-Young Lee

*Department of Materials Science and
Engineering, Korea Advanced Institute of Science
and Technology, 373-1 Kusong-Dong, Yusong-gu,
Taejon, South Korea*

[3] R. J. Gummow, A. de Kock, M. M. Thackery,
Solid State Ionics, 69, 59 (1994).

Abstract

Graphite has been widely used as the anode material of commercial Li-ion secondary battery. With the growing demands of high capacity secondary battery, the low capacity of graphite (theoretical capacity: 372 mAh/g) has been thought to be the limiting factor in wide applications and a new anode material with high capacity has been sought for. Of many materials, $\text{Li}_{2.6}\text{Co}_{0.4}\text{N}$ showed the best anode performance. It showed very high capacity of 1024mAh/g, good rate capability ($1\text{C}/0.2\text{C} = 94.94\%$) and extraordinary initial Coulometric efficiency (96%). In addition to the excellent capacity of this material, its rate capability was much superior to that of graphite (82 ~ 88%). However, it cannot be commercialized because its capacity loss after 30 cycles is around 40%. As a result of various analyses, it was confirmed that the formation of CoF_2 film caused by the decomposition reaction between electrolyte and $\text{Li}_{2.6}\text{Co}_{0.4}\text{N}$ is the main reason of its cyclic degradation. As a method to improve the cyclic degradation, iron doping in $\text{Li}_{2.6}\text{Co}_{0.4}\text{N}$ was suggested and attempted to restrain the formation of CoF_2 film on the surface of $\text{Li}_{2.6}\text{Co}_{0.4}\text{N}$. $\text{Li}_{2.6}\text{Co}_{0.35}\text{Fe}_{0.05}\text{N}$ had a little lower capacity (about 900mAh/g) than $\text{Li}_{2.6}\text{Co}_{0.4}\text{N}$ (1024mAh/g), but showed much better cycle life than $\text{Li}_{2.6}\text{Co}_{0.4}\text{N}$ (35% → 60% after 50 cycles).

Reference

- [1] T. Shodai, S. Okada, S. Tobishima and J. Yamaki, *J. Power Sources*, 515, 68 (1997).
[2] D. Guyomard, C. Sigala, A. Le Gal La Salle, Y. Piffard, *J. Power Sources*, 68, 692 (1997).